


1965

X US ARMY CORPS



1965

ANNUAL HISTORICAL SUMMARY

CALENDAR YEAR 1965


X US ARMY CORPS

Fort Lawton, Washington

Submitted by:

Information Office
X US Army Corps

Date: 22 March 1966




C. F. LEONARD, JR.
Major General, USA
Commanding

FOREWORD

This historical summary of X US Army Corps is for the Calendar Year 1965. Efforts were directed to give proportionate coverage and to present an accurate, objective account of all Corps activities.

Organizations and units assigned to X Corps during the year that were authorized an organizational color or a distinguishing flag or guidon, conducted and reported their own command historical programs.

22 March 1966
Fort Lawton, Washington



C. F. LEONARD, JR.
Major General, USA
Commanding

ABBREVIATIONS

ANACDUTRA	annual active duty for training
ARNG	Army National Guard
CONARC	U.S. Continental Army Command
CONUS	Continental United States
DA	Department of the Army
DOD	Department of Defense
FY	fiscal year (1 July - 30 June)
G1, etc.	Assistant Chief of Staff, G1, etc.
LOI	letter of instruction
MIDA	Major Item Data Agency
MOS	Military Occupational Specialty
MUTA	multiple unit training assemblies
NDCC	National Defense Cadet Corps
OCS	Officer Candidate School
R&D	research and development
ROTC	Reserve Officers' Training Corps
SRF	Selected Reserve Force
TAERS	The Army Equipment Records System
TDY	temporary duty
TOE	table of organization and equipment
USAR	United States Army Reserve
USARAL	United States Army, Alaska

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
FOREWORD	ii
ABBREVIATIONS	iii
CHAPTER 1 - ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION	
SECTION I - <u>Statement of Mission</u>	1
SECTION II - <u>Organization Chart</u>	3
SECTION III - <u>Personnel</u>	4
CHAPTER 2 - MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS	
SECTION I - <u>Training and Exercises</u>	21
SECTION II - <u>Plans and Organization</u>	37
SECTION III - <u>Logistics</u>	48
SECTION IV - <u>Community Relations, Public Information and Recruiting</u>	52
APPENDIX I - <u>USAR Strength Report</u>	57
APPENDIX II- <u>Lineage and Honors</u>	73

CHAPTER 1

ORGANIZATION AND ADMINISTRATION

SECTION I - STATEMENT OF MISSION

The mission of the Commanding General, X United States Army Corps, is to:

Command all active Army personnel assigned or attached to Corps Headquarters, Sector and Subsector Commands, Senior USAR Advisors to General Officer Commands, and United States Army Reserve personnel in the states of Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah and Washington.

Supervise and coordinate all US Army Reserve affairs in the Corps area that are a functional responsibility of the Army Commander, to include personnel procurement, organization, training, administration, inspection and supply.

Assist the Army Commander in determining logistical requirements in the operation of the Corps.

Assist US Army Reserve units in the procurement of supplies and equipment necessary to accomplish their mission.

Assure that US Army Reserve units have adequate facilities for proper storage, safeguarding and maintaining such property as may be issued within authorized allowances; and determine the ability of units to utilize profitably such property for the training program, or for such other missions as may be assigned.

Prepare, coordinate and implement when appropriate, plans for civil defense, mobilization, internal security, contingency


operations, and other functions as directed.

Operate a headquarters to support the foregoing missions.

Initiate action on removal of USAR general officers from active status in accordance with AR 140-10.

ORGANIZATION CHART

X US ARMY CORPS
 FORT LAWTON, WASHINGTON
 (TD 6A-6051-00)



SECTION II - ORGANIZATION CHART

3

SECTION III - PERSONNEL

The year 1965 was one of many changes, many proposed changes which were never consummated, and an increase in the amount of effort devoted to planning. The X Corps personnel structure underwent marked changes during the period with a resultant sharp downward trend in authorized and assigned strengths. Declining strengths were attributed to five major developments: Plans for inactivation of X Corps and merger of the United States Army Reserve and Army National Guard units; loss of functions relating to the Reserve Officers' Training Corps program and administration of non-unit reserve records and inactivation of reserve units.

Beginning year personnel authorizations totaled 897; 198 officers, 299 enlisted and 400 civilians. By the end of the year authorizations had dropped to 710: 118 officers, 205 enlisted and 387 civilians. Assigned strengths in January totaled 868: 168 officers, 296 enlisted and 404 civilians and at year's end had dropped to 571: 65 officers, 169 enlisted and 337 civilians.

The loss of the ROTC program in July accounted for the total reduction of 187 spaces (80 officers, 94 enlisted and 13 civilians). The difference of 297 in assigned strength was also due to this loss and the curtailment of replacements in the remaining elements of the corps, due to its uncertain status, and levies imposed on the command to meet urgent requirements in overseas and CONUS armies.

Within the military personnel structure, the Corps stood at 96 percent of its assigned strength in January. This favorable picture was attributed to the high priority given the ROTC units for replacements. By the end of the year, the combined strengths of the headquarters operation and advisor activities stood at 72 percent. Shortages were predominantly in the officer category, with the advisor activities being the most severely afflicted. Officer strengths in these activities fell from 75 percent to 48 percent during the year. Overall enlisted strengths were less affected, having fallen from 98 percent to 82 percent.

In December, a personnel authorization voucher was received which further reduced personnel spaces by 22 enlisted and 6 civilians as a result of the transfer of non-unit reserve records to the US Army Administration Center, St. Louis, Mo. This reduction was not reflected on the Table of Distribution since a freeze had been imposed on any changes in space authorizations due to the indeterminate status of the Corps.

The inactivation of reserve units by 31 December rendered three officer, six enlisted and eight civilian spaces as excess in the advisor activities. In view of these unit inactivations, and the limited assigned personnel strengths, plans were developed to realign sectors and subsectors. The personnel impact on this proposed realignment would result in a further excess of three officer spaces, one enlisted and one civilian space. Due to the freeze on TD changes, these plans were not implemented by the close of the year.

The substitutability plan which was announced by Department of Defense in September concluded that a number of military positions, which were listed by MOS, could feasibly be converted to civilian. A minimum of 77 military spaces was established by Headquarters, Sixth US Army, for conversion within X US Army Corps. The major portion of these positions fell within the areas of administration, supply and personnel. Final nominations recommended by X Corps totaled 82, of which 10 officers and 43 enlisted were in the headquarters and 2 officers and 27 enlisted were in the advisor activities. The DOD plan called for an initial conversion of positions in January 1966. As of 31 December the results of recommendations submitted had not been received.

Under the area supply organization plan which was developed during the year, a reorganization of the 17 subsector supply offices into two area supply offices was proposed: one in Fort Lewis, Wash., and one in Fort Douglas, Utah. This plan involved a realignment of a total of 27 spaces: 9 enlisted and 18 civilian. Disposition of these spaces was as follows: two enlisted men and seven civilians to each of the two ASO's; one enlisted man in each of the five Sector Headquarters (Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah and Washington); and one civilian to each of the four major organizational maintenance shops. Since the freeze on any changes to the Table of Distribution was still in effect by the end of the year, Headquarters Sixth US Army approved the plan on a provisional basis only under the provisions of AR 220-5. Due to PCS limitations and non-availability of sufficient supply

personnel in the immediate areas of Fort Lewis and Fort Douglas, extensive periods of TDY would have been required to staff the two supply offices. Therefore, implementation of the plan was not effected by the close of the year.

The reduction in the number of assigned civilians is directly attributed to the series of Department of Defense announcements relative to the proposed reorganization of the reserve components of the Army and inactivation of the 14 US Army Corps. Highly qualified civilian personnel accepted more permanent positions with other governmental agencies and civilian industry. Authority to hire career and career-conditional civilian employees to fill authorized vacancies was withdrawn in January. This restriction was partially lifted in June when hiring of civilians on a temporary basis was authorized. The hiring of civilian employees on a permanent basis was not authorized until November. The announcement by the Department of Defense in November that all Reinforcing Reserve Units would be inactivated not later than 31 December again precipitated an exodus of skilled civilian employees. These unit inactivations and related realignments of Immediate Reserve USAR units necessitated a redistribution of the civilian technician personnel spaces allocated to this command. This action required implementation of reduction-in-force procedures and also resulted in the relocation and/or reassignment of numerous civilian technicians. The personnel turbulence generated by these actions will continue well into 1966.

Due to the deactivation of selected reserve units, a realignment of Chaplain spaces has occurred. Ten Chaplain spaces were eliminated by deactivation but all Chaplains affected can be utilized in current existing troop program units.

In May, a Department of Army Circular was published as a plan for a buildup of Chaplains on extended active duty. Five Chaplains made application and their applications were processed. Increased efforts have been made by the Staff Chaplain to encourage junior Reserve Chaplains and eligible civilian clergymen to apply for active duty.

The X Corps safety program was supported by the US Army Garrison, Fort Lawton, until April. Upon the transfer of the Fort Lawton Safety Officer at that time, support of the safety program was then received from Fort Lewis. Special emphasis on accident prevention continued throughout the year.

A total of 32 incidents, which resulted in one death, occurred during the year for a total of \$103,232 in accident costs. There were no Army aircraft accidents. Frequency rates were below those established by Headquarters, Sixth US Army.

The X Corps decorations and awards program received full support from all levels of command. Four awards for the Legion of Merit, and 119 lesser awards were processed and approved during the year.

The Command United States Savings Bond participation rate of 82 percent at the close of calendar year 1964 showed an increase at the close of calendar year 1965 to 84 percent.

Cost reduction goal of \$9,000 in fiscal year 1965 in the data processing area was attained with an annual savings of \$9,300. Indications are that the \$5,000 goal assigned for fiscal year 1966 will be attained. No other goals in other cost reduction areas were assigned.

Six internal reviews were conducted during FY 1965 in the following areas: Civilian pay, the military pay voucher system, preparation and issue of Treasury department forms W-2, review of unliquidated obligations, medical services for USAR personnel, and general ledger reconciliation.

The data processing workload was reduced with the shipment of approximately 13,000 non-unit records to the US Army Administration Center. The status, address, and language cards for these reservists were transferred and transfer orders were prepared by Comptroller. Reduction in workload resulted in a loss of one civilian and two military spaces.

The obligation of funds within both the O&M,A appropriation and RP,A appropriation was accomplished at a rate of 99.9 percent and 99.7 percent respectively. Fund availability and obligations were as follows:

1. Budget Program 2000 funds for operation of tactical aircraft, field exercises and rifle and pistol matches amounted to \$13,951. Of these funds, \$13,940 were obligated for a rate of 99.9 percent.

2. Budget Program 2100 funds for TDY of active Army personnel to Army service schools amounted to \$7,230. Of these funds \$7,182 were obligated, or 99.3 percent.

3. Budget Program 2400 funds for physical examinations of Reserve personnel at civilian facilities amounted to \$26,243 and were obligated 100 percent.

4. Budget Program 2600 funds for USAR and ROTC administration amounted to \$3,431,410. These funds were primarily for civilian labor, supplies and transportation, travel, machine rental and ANACDUTRA support. Of these funds, \$3,428,740 were obligated for a rate of 99.9 percent.

5. Budget Program 8100 funds for USAR pay, allowances and travel amounted to \$3,691,300. A total of \$3,679,310 was obligated for a rate of 99.7 percent.

6. Budget Program 8200 funds for ROTC pay, allowances and travel amounted to \$552,000. Of these funds \$550,012 were obligated for a rate of 99.6 percent.

During the year increased emphasis was placed on the early detection of personnel whose access to classified defense information was inimical to the interests of sound security system. Additionally, reporting of incidents due to United States' involvement in Viet Nam was stressed.

Early 1965 was marked by a substantial lengthening of the time between the initiation and completion of personnel security actions.

This unfavorable condition resulted from the initiation of Project Security Shield. Project Security Shield eliminated the processing of personnel security actions through Sixth Army and allowed Corps to request personnel security actions directly from the US Army Intelligence Corps Command at Fort Holabird, Md. Budgeting limitations and increased workload at Fort Holabird attributed to this unfavorable condition. During the second half of 1965 corrective action taken by the US Army Intelligence Corps Command has resulted in normalizing this situation. Time requirement for personnel security actions now are on par with time requirements for processing actions as they were for 1964. As the experience factors develop in this area, it can be foreseen that 1966 will produce a more efficient and responsive security clearance program.

With the publication of X Corps Regulation 380-1, dated 22 Oct 65, procedures for the control of classified material and for security briefings and debriefings were clarified and delineated.

In spite of repeated efforts to assure that all personnel who would require security clearances had them prior to ANACDUTRA, several units and individuals experienced difficulties during ANACDUTRA 65 because they had failed to process the necessary correspondence in sufficient time to insure early receipt of the required security clearance. This situation was aggravated by the additional time requirement imposed by the reorganization of the personnel security program incidental to the initiation of Project Security Shield.

On 27 July, the AC of S, G2 Section, moved from Building 423, Fort Lawton to Building 417 and physically combined with the AC of S, G3 Section. This move and reorganization was confirmed in General Order No. 93, Hq, X US Army Corps, dated 21 September, in which the office of the AC of S, G3, was redesignated Office of the AC of S, G2/G3 and the office of the AC of S, G2 was discontinued.

COMMANDING GENERAL - 1965

X US ARMY CORPS

Maj. Gen. W. C. Garrison	1 Jan 1965	5 Nov 1965
Col. Rowland H. Renwanz	5 Nov 1965	1 Dec 1965
Maj. Gen. C. F. Leonard, Jr.	1 Dec 1965	31 Dec 1965



6431-644-1/AM 65

1 December 1965

PROJECT:

FORT LAWTON, WASHINGTON

Official portrait of Major General C. F. Leonard, Jr.,
Commanding General of X U. S. Army Corps, Fort Lawton,
Washington.

Photographed by: Wayne B. Panter
Signal Photo Division
US Army Garrison
Fort Lawton, Washington

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

PUBLICATION OF THIS PHOTOGRAPH IS NOT
AUTHORIZED UNLESS APPROVED FOR RELEASE
BY THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICE AT ANY
ARMY ACTIVITY OR INSTALLATION AND SO
NOTED HEREON. ITS USE IN COMMERCIAL
ADVERTISEMENT MUST BE APPROVED BY THE
PUBLIC INFORMATION DIVISION, OFFICE OF
THE CHIEF OF INFORMATION AND EDUCATION,
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY, THE PENTAGON,
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. IF PUBLISHED PLEASE
CREDIT AS "U. S. ARMY PHOTOGRAPH".

MAJOR GENERAL CHARLES FREDERICK LEONARD, JR.

Charles Frederick Leonard, Jr. was born in Fort Snelling, Minnesota on February 23, 1913. He was graduated from the United States Military Academy in 1935 and commissioned a second lieutenant of Infantry. Prior to World War II, he served with various Infantry units and was a member of the United States Olympic Pentathlon Team at Berlin in 1936, and of the 1940 Infantry Pistol Team.

In 1942, General Leonard was assigned to the Tactical Department, USMA, where he served as instructor, company tactical officer and S3, United States Corps of Cadets. Subsequently he joined III Corps in preparation for Far East deployment, at Camp Polk, Louisiana, and in 1945 and 1946 was assigned successively to G2, X Corps, as Operations Officer, Repatriations Center, Otake, and to G4, Eighth Army, Yokohama.

Upon returning to the United States in 1948, General Leonard attended the Armed Forces Staff College and in 1949 was ordered to Fort Knox where he served as a regimental and battalion commander, Chief of the Leadership School and as G4, 3d Armored Division.

In 1951, General Leonard was transferred to Washington, D. C. for duty in the Air Targets Division, United States Air Force. The following year he attended the Army War College at Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania.

Upon graduation from the Army War College in 1953, General Leonard went to the Far East, where he commanded the 27th US Infantry Regiment (the Wolfhounds), 25th Infantry Division in Korea. He later served as Deputy Chief of Staff, I Corps.

Returning to the United States in 1954, General Leonard became Director of the Weapons Department, The Infantry School. From February 1957 until June 1957, he served as Director of Instruction at The Infantry School. Having long maintained an interest in small arms marksmanship, General Leonard was assigned as the National Match Executive Officer to direct the National Small Arms competition at Camp Perry, Ohio in the summers of 1955 and 1956.

In 1957, General Leonard became Chief of Staff of the 3d Infantry Division and moved to Germany with the advance party of the Division in 1958. He subsequently was Commander, 1st Battle Group, 15th Infantry at Bamberg. In July 1959, he became Assistant Chief of Staff, G2, of Central Army Group at Heidelberg.

General Leonard returned to the United States in May 1960 for assignment to the Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence. In September, he became the Director of Security, Mapping and Combat Intelligence, Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, and in October 1961, became the Deputy Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence.

He assumed command of the 1st Cavalry Division on 7 August 1963.

On 1 December 1964, General Leonard assumed command of the U. S. Army Intelligence Center and Fort Holabird, Commandant of the U. S. Army Intelligence School and became the Chief of the U. S. Army Intelligence Corps. On 1 January 1965, he was designated the Acting Commanding General of the U. S. Army Intelligence Corps Command. On 15 October 1965, he became the Commanding General, U. S. Army Intelligence Corps.

On 1 December 1965, General Leonard assumed command of X United States Army Corps, Fort Lawton, Washington.

PERSONAL DATA

Date and Place of Birth:	February 23, 1913, Fort Snelling, Minnesota
Parents: Father:	Colonel Charles F. Leonard, Infantry, Deceased
Mother:	Hannah M. Leonard, Deceased
Marriage: Date:	June 19, 1937
Wife:	Margery Beukema Leonard
Children:	Charles F. Leonard, III, Captain, Ordnance, US Army; Michael Leonard, Lieutenant, Infantry, US Army; Margaret W.; Henry A., and Herman B. Leonard
Official Home Address:	St. Petersburg, Florida

EDUCATION

U. S. Military Academy - 1935
The Infantry School - 1941
Command and General Staff College, General Staff Course - 1944
Armed Forces Staff College - 1949
Army War College - 1953

CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF PROMOTIONS

<u>Grade</u>	<u>AUS</u>	<u>RA</u>
2d Lieutenant		12 Jun 35

CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF PROMOTIONS - continued

<u>Grade</u>	<u>AUS</u>	<u>RA</u>
1st Lieutenant		12 Jun 38
Captain	9 Sep 40	12 Jun 45
Major	10 Jun 42	15 Jul 48
Lt Colonel	24 Apr 43	27 Mar 53
Colonel	13 Aug 52	12 Jun 60
Brigadier General	1 May 60	1 Oct 61
Major General	1 Apr 62	

CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF ASSIGNMENTS

<u>Assignments</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Instructor, Company Tactical Officer and S3, US Corps of Cadets, West Point, N. Y.	Jan 42	Jun 45
Operations Officer, G3 Section, III Corps	Aug 45	Nov 45
Operations Officer, G2 Section, X Corps, Far East	Nov 45	Jan 46
Chief, Miscellaneous Division, G4 Section Eighth Army, Far East	Jan 46	Jul 48
Commanding Officer, Reserve Command, 83d Rcn Bn 23d Armored Engineer Bn, 3d Armored Div, Fort Knox, Ky.	Jan 49	Mar 50
Chief, Operations Branch, J4 Section, Exercise Swamer, Fort Bragg, N. C.	Mar 50	May 50
Assistant Chief of Staff, G4, 3d Armored Division, Fort Knox, Ky.	Jun 50	Feb 51
Army Deputy, Target Studies Section, Air Targets Division, Directorate of Intelligence, United States Air Force, Washington, D. C.	Mar 51	Aug 52
Student, Army War College, Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania	Aug 52	Aug 53
Commander, 27th Infantry Regiment, 25th Infantry Division, United States Forces, Far East	Sep 53	Mar 54
Deputy Chief of Staff, I Corps, United States Forces, Far East	Mar 54	Aug 54
Director, Weapons Department, The Infantry School (The National Match Executive Officer, National Rifle and Pistol Matches, Camp Perry, Ohio, 6 Jul 55 to 19 Sep 55; 5 Jul 56 to 18 Sep 56), Fort Benning, Georgia	Aug 54	Feb 57

CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF ASSIGNMENTS - continued

<u>Assignment</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>
Director of Instruction, United States Army Infantry School, Ft. Benning, Ga.	Feb 57	May 57
Chief of Staff, 3d Infantry Division, United States Army, Europe	Jun 57	May 58
Group Commander, 1st Battle Group, 15th Infantry, 3d Infantry Division, United States Army, Europe	May 58	Jul 59
Assistant Chief of Staff, G2, Central Army Group, Heidelberg, Germany	Jul 59	May 60
Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Department of the Army	May 60	Sep 60
Director of Security, Mapping and Combat Intelligence, Office of the Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Department of the Army	Sep 60	Oct 61
Deputy Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Department of the Army	Oct 61	Aug 63
Commanding General, 1st Cavalry Division	Aug 63	Nov 64
Commanding General, U.S. Army Intelligence School and Chief of the U. S. Army Intelligence Corps	Dec 64	Jan 65
Acting Commanding General, U. S. Army Intelligence Corps	Jan 65	Oct 65
Commanding General, U. S. Army Intelligence Command & Comdt, USA Intelligence School	Oct 65	Dec 65
Commanding General, X United States Army Corps	Dec 65	

CITATIONS AND DECORATIONS

Legion of Merit
 Commendation Ribbon with Metal Pendant and Oak Leaf Cluster

1965 STAFF - X US ARMY CORPS

	<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>
<u>DEPUTY CORPS COMMANDER</u>		
Col. J. M. Williams	1 Jan 1965	30 Jun 1965
Col. Rowland H. Renwanz	1 Jul 1965	31 Dec 1965
<u>CHIEF OF STAFF</u>		
Col. Rowland H. Renwanz	1 Jan 1965	31 Dec 1965
<u>ASS'T C/S, G1</u>		
Col. Joseph H. Harrison	1 Jan 1965	31 Jul 1965
Lt.Col. Hugh S. Boyce	1 Aug 1965	18 Nov 1965
Col. Walter W. Davis	19 Nov 1965	31 Dec 1965
<u>ASS'T C/S, G2</u>		
Lt.Col. Charles G. Kaigler	1 Jan 1965	31 Jan 1965
Maj. Adolph Miele	1 Feb 1965	8 Jul 1965
Lt.Col. Joseph Ikeguchi	9 Jul 1965	23 Sep 1965
<u>ASS'T C/S, G3</u>		
Col. Vincent I. Gates	1 Jan 1965	18 Aug 1965
Col. Robert W. Clirehugh	19 Aug 1965	23 Sep 1965
<u>ASS'T C/S, G2/G3</u>		
Col. Robert W. Clirehugh	24 Sep 1965	31 Dec 1965
<u>ASS'T C/S, G4</u>		
Lt.Col. James M. Halpin	1 Jan 1965	10 Jun 1965
Col. Duane M. Witt	11 Jun 1965	13 Jul 1965
Col. Charles D. Lovejoy	14 Jul 1965	31 Dec 1965
<u>ADJUTANT GENERAL</u>		
Col. Peter Peters	1 Jan 1965	11 Apr 1965
Capt. Herbert W. White	12 Apr 1965	4 Aug 1965
Col. Virgil D. Walter	5 Aug 1965	31 Dec 1965
<u>COMPTROLLER</u>		
Mr. Robert L. Boyd	1 Jan 1965	31 Dec 1965

1965 STAFF - X US ARMY CORPS

	<u>FROM</u>	<u>TO</u>
<u>INFORMATION OFFICER</u>		
Mr. Lawrence L. Pike	1 Jan 1965	31 Dec 1965
<u>INSPECTOR GENERAL</u>		
Col. Duane M. Witt	1 Jan 1965	10 Jun 1965
Lt.Col. Howard M. DeLong	11 Jun 1965	31 Dec 1965
<u>HQ COMMANDANT</u>		
Capt. William A. Smith	1 Jan 1965	5 Dec 1965
Lt. Jon L. Setzer	6 Dec 1965	31 Dec 1965

CHAPTER 2

MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS

SECTION I - TRAINING AND EXERCISES

During the year the assigned strengths for troop program and non-troop program USAR units in X Corps remained at approximately 12,500 and 700 individuals respectively. (See Appendix I)

Throughout the training year an increased effort was made by all USAR units to improve their mobilization readiness posture. Several means were used to accomplish this objective. Many units increased their scheduling of multiple drill type training assemblies, and an increase in requests from units to conduct consecutive multiple drill type training assemblies was received at this headquarters. These actions provided units with increased training time for field operations. In addition to the above, a requirement was placed upon all troop program units by this headquarters to direct their training efforts towards the accomplishment of either an Army training test or a proficiency test prior to completion of the training year 1965-66.

Although the announced merger action of the Reserve Components created some personnel turbulence, most USAR units were able to maintain the minimum standards of 85 percent Reserve Duty training attendance and 90 percent ANACDUTRA participation.

The four General Officer Commands performed their ANACDUTRA calendar year training at the installation indicated below:

96th Command Headquarters, Divisional, with most of the assigned units; Fort Lewis, Wash., 31 July - 14 August.

104th Division (Training) (-) Fort Lewis, 14-28 August. The 415th Regiment (CST), 104th Division (Training), Fort Ord, Calif., 10-24 July.

191st Infantry Brigade (Mechanized); Fort Irwin, Calif., 10-24 July.

498th Engineer Command (Amphibious Support); Fort Lewis, 7-21 August.

During the year, 216,841 man-day spaces were utilized to support active-duty training for 5,817 Reserve officers and 10,793 enlisted men of this command in the following training categories:

	<u>OFFICER</u>		<u>ENLISTED</u>	
	<u>NO.</u>	<u>MDS</u>	<u>NO.</u>	<u>MDS</u>
1. ANACDUTRA, Pay Group A (Troop Program and S&F USAR Sch personnel)	2,161	32,812	8,300	124,040
2. ANACDUTRA, Pay Group D (Non-Unit Personnel)	905	12,602	1,364	19,497
(a) USAR Sch Stu	(344)	(4,830)	0	0
(b) Mob Des	(127)	(1,658)	0	0
(c) Indiv Reinf	(434)	(6,114)	(1,364)	(19,497)
3. School Tours	901	13,338	373	4,648
(a) Serv Sch	(444)	(10,047)	(54)	(2,800)
(b) Area Sch	(457)	(3,291)	(313)	(1,445)

	<u>OFFICER</u>		<u>ENLISTED</u>	
	<u>NO.</u>	<u>MDS</u>	<u>NO.</u>	<u>MDS</u>
4. Special Tours	1,850	6,899	(756)	3,005
(a) CPX	(250)	(425)	(141)	(153)
(b) Marksmanship	(329)	(1,704)	(245)	(1,396)
(c) Unit Off Stu	(132)	(1,812)	0	0
(d) General Special Tours	(1,139)	(2,958)	(370)	(1,456)
TOTAL	5,817	65,651	10,793	151,190

Requests for unit ANACDUTRA orders were initiated and coordinated with the G4 movements branch for 267 troop program units which included split assemblies and units performing ANACDUTRA at more than one site. Units were ordered to training at 25 different sites located principally within the Sixth Army area. In addition to the 12,730 Reservists indicated in categories 1 and 2 above as performing ANACDUTRA; an additional 845 individuals were credited with attending ANACDUTRA 65 as a result of participating in REP 63 basic training or recent completion of two years' active duty.

Following is the recap of individual requests submitted by Reservists of this command for outright waivers and change of ANACDUTRA dates:

	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
1. Requests for outright waivers		
(a) Approved	23	24
(b) Disapproved	6	11

	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>
2. Requests for change of ANACDUTRA dates		
(a) Approved	446	626
(b) Disapproved	11	5
3. Withdrawals	<u>23</u>	<u>30</u>
TOTAL	<u>509</u>	<u>696</u>

In the case of change of date actions, appropriate alternate training was coordinated with active Army, USAR and ARNG units and necessary orders issued.

Major highlights of training accomplishments by USAR units during this year involved the Civil Affairs units and the 6155th R&D Unit. The 364th and 365th Civil Affairs Area, Headquarters "B" units, operating from a base at Fort Lawton, conducted studies in governmental organization and operation at the state, county, city and town level using the facilities at the University of Washington. A similar program was conducted by the 451st Civil Affairs Group with 448th and 449th CA Companies attached at Salem, Ore. However, the unusual feature of this operation was the use of the agencies at the state capitol for research and instruction and the buildings at the state fairground as a base of operations. The 6155th Research and Development Unit conducted a Sixth US Army Research and Development Seminar at the University of Washington and Fort Lawton, 15-27 August. In addition to the many distinguished speakers and visitors to the seminar, 152 individuals from the Army, Navy and Air Force participated in this seminar, entitled

"Horizons for Military R&D From Now to 2000 A.D."

The 17th Special Forces participated in the airlift program SKY THRUST. Movement was from home station in Seattle, to Fort Wainwright, Alaska. Two hundred and twelve individuals were involved in this program. Co.A, 9th Special Forces Group from Oklahoma, was attached to this organization during the ANACDUTRA period. This augmented Special Forces organizations participating in exercise TANANA FLATS II, in conjunction with approximately 400 USARAL troops.

The annual unit performance evaluation, conducted by Active Army personnel during the ANACDUTRA period rated the performance of the USAR units under the jurisdiction of this headquarters in the Excellent and Superior bracket. No unit received an Unsatisfactory rating. The most common deficiencies recorded were failure of units to attain the required strength and MOS qualifications. A total of 253 X Corps USAR units attended ANACDUTRA CY 65.

Many members of the ARNGUS and USAR were reported to this headquarters as unsatisfactorily participating in required training, with recommendations that they be required to serve a 45-day enforcement tour. Of those reported, 134 were ordered to perform 45 days ACDUTRA.

Department of the Army letter AGAM-P(M), dated 20 July 1965, "Policy Governing Inactive Duty Training of the Reserve Components" increased the training time for Reserve Component units by requiring the conduct of additional multiple drill type training assemblies for all troop program units. Implementation of this directive became effective with the beginning of the training year, following ANACDUTRA/

AFT 1965, thereby increasing the minimum training hours for the training year 1965-66 from 208 to 232. Subject letter also provides for discontinuance of the two hour drill assembly effective 1 October 1966, and further establishes and defines seven types of training assemblies ranging from the two hour single training assembly to multiple unit assembly six (MUTA-6).

CONARC Draft LOI, 12 October, and CONARC LOI, 22 October established the Selected Reserve Force (SRF) to provide Selected Immediate Reserve units (organized at 100 percent TOE) trained to execute an Army Training Test by ANACDUTRA 1966. Seven months, November 1965 through May 1966, were allocated to conduct this training cycle. Unit training assemblies were increased by 50 percent to a total of 45 unit training assemblies to accomplish the training.

Units designated within X US Army Corps were the 379th Army Postal Unit, Fort Lawton, and the 409th Engineer Company, Everett, Wash.

In addition to the unit training assemblies a staff of three personnel for company detachment size units was authorized to conduct six additional training assemblies per quarter (pro-rated on partial quarters) to up-date mobilization plans, to plan training, and to perform other administrative tasks.

The CONARC LOI was received at this headquarters on 4 November and Corps published the directive for implementation on 12 November, which was revised upon receipt of the Sixth US Army directive also dated 12 November.

Personnel were earmarked from deactivated Ready Reserve units for assignment to SRF units. Within the available resources, personnel were assigned first by exact primary or secondary MOS, second by related MOS, and last as filler personnel.

Equipment was transferred from deactivated Ready Reserve units and Immediate Reserve units to utilize existing resources to fulfill the high equipment priorities of these units. Equipment not available within Corps resources was requisitioned.

Training of the SRF units started on 13 November under modified ATP 12-47 and modified ATP 5-35. The Engineer Panel Bridge Company had the greater challenge since it began training at a very low achievement level. At the end of the year, each unit had completed about 20 percent of the required training.

Sixth US Army required submission of special Reserve Unit Readiness Reports (AR 135-8), monthly, submission of quarterly training schedules, and submission of proposed staff visits.

The unit readiness conditions reports prepared by unit commanders, when compared with desired goals, show an improvement for X US Army Corps units between the March and September reports. The training goals which were not met were attributed to the lack of personnel, personnel turnover, and the low priority of the unit in the allocation of equipment.

A DA letter of 28 June, subject: Transfer of Non-Unit Ready Reserve Personnel, established the requirement for the transfer of personnel of non-troop program units to the USAAC. After various

changes in shipment dates, records of approximately 13,000 reservists were shipped to USAAC in 278 boxes. Due to the unrealistic time frame contained in above letter for many actions, it was necessary for the Corps to revise procedures and the time frame to insure that established suspense dates would be met. Personnel records arrived at USAAC on 2 November. Corps was relieved of command and mobilization responsibilities for non-troop program unit personnel on 3 November.

The requirement to transfer non-unit Ready Reserve records to USAAC dictated numerous preparatory actions. Effective 1 July, personnel released from active duty to the Army Reserve were assigned to an appropriate control group of the USAAC. Effective 1 August, all releases from Army NG were assigned to USAAC appropriate control groups. On 3 October, Corps control group strengths were frozen and assigned personnel were transferred to appropriate USAAC control group effective 31 October. In November an initial unit personnel requirements report was forwarded to USAAC for implementation of a centralized mandatory assignment system.

Education

During the year 1,140 Reservists in the X US Army Corps area attended Army service and Army area schools, as compared with 1,670 Reservists for 1964.

Reservists attended the following courses: Career Refresher, Basic Orientation, Associate Career, and Associate Command and Staff. In addition to these career type courses, Reservists were provided

specialized training in order for them to carry out their reserve assignments more effectively and efficiently. Specialized courses included: Reserve Orientation Course (Armed Forces Staff College), Army Aviation Safety Orientation Course, IG Orientation Course, various Civil Defense courses, LOGEX-65, CBR Weapons, Senior Preventive Maintenance Course, Combat Operations Specialists Course, Communist Strategy, Modern Warfare Orientation, various medical professional short courses, Military Operation Research Symposia, and National Security Seminars. Enlisted personnel attended similar courses with primary emphasis on MOS training. In addition, officer and enlisted personnel of the 17th Special Forces Group attended special airborne courses at Fort Bragg, N.C. and Fort Benning, Ga., to include Jumpmaster, Ranger Airborne, Pathfinder and Special Warfare Orientation courses.

X US Army Corps officers and enlisted men and some civilians, attended Army Area School courses at the Fort Lewis Schools Center. A total of 213 quotas was allocated during the year for personnel to attend equipment records system, materiel readiness, wheeled vehicle mechanics, NCO academy, CBR operations, projectionist school and driver safety courses.

Approximately 112 Reservists attended the Sixth Army Area Intelligence School which was conducted at Fort Ord during the summer.

The six USAR Schools in the X Corps Area (Boise, Fort Douglas, Portland, Spokane, Tacoma and Seattle) conducted Career and Associate Command and General Staff courses as well as enlisted MOS classes. In December there were 942 students enrolled in these six schools in an

attached or auditor status, and 203 were assigned to these schools as staff or faculty.

It should be noted that this is the first year that USAR Schools have instituted the Common Subjects curriculum, and this caused a considerable change in the procedures previously established in the schools. However, the total enrollment in the USAR Schools increased over the past year by 17 students.

In February, the ARNG 1965-1966 Officer Candidate School program commenced for enlisted members of the USAR. Twenty-two reservists in the five-state area took advantage of this opportunity to obtain a commission. USAR members successfully completing the course are commissioned in the USAR upon graduation from OCS.

A disparity in early procedures resulted in USAR graduates of Army National Guard OCS receiving certificates of completion, rendering them administratively eligible to apply for appointment in the USAR, while members of the Guard received commissions. A change in the program was initiated whereby USAR candidates submit application for appointment, appear before examining board and are recommended for appointment contingent upon successful completion of OCS. This procedure resulted in the appointment of USAR personnel at time of graduation in June.

It is felt that the overall decrease in participation in the Service and Army Area schools is due to the uncertainties generated by the proposed merger of the USAR and ARNG units, and the inactivation of various Reserve units in the X Corps area.

During 1965, the Inspector General section completed combined annual general and command inspections, FY 1965, of USAR units and subordinate active Army headquarters within X Corps' area of responsibility. Additionally, the section performed annual formal inspections of ROTC and NDCC units, and annual general inspections of active Army instructor detachments at universities, colleges and high schools in Washington, Oregon, Utah, Idaho and Montana.

After completion of the FY 1965 inspections, the section was temporarily inactivated in view of the planned merger of USAR with ARNG and resulting inactivation of the Corps. Concurrently the responsibility for ROTC activities was transferred to Headquarters Sixth US Army; this resulted in the transfer of one officer space from the section to that headquarters.

Upon the suspension of the merger plan the section was re-activated and schedule of inspections for FY 1966 was prepared. In view of the loss of one officer space it was necessary to exempt from inspection those units rated superior during FY 1965 and in which there had been no significant change in command. Fiscal Year 1966 inspections were begun in September and were approximately 45 percent completed by the end of the calendar year.

Four X US Army Corps "Items of Command Interest" were approved by the Commanding General for incorporation in FY 1966 inspections. These four items, dealing specifically with recruiting in USAR units; training; supply records and procedures; and maintenance records and

procedures were published in X Corps circulars and were accorded special emphasis during inspections.

During the first two quarters of FY 1966, inspection ratings generally showed a marked decline when compared to FY 1965. This decline was attributed to a "wait and see" attitude caused by the recurring changes in connection with the merger and/or inactivation of the units concerned.

Members of the IG section also served as project officers for the Annual General Inspection of Headquarters, X US Army Corps, conducted by Sixth US Army 12-16 April. One officer of the section was also designated Corps Liaison Officer to USAR units during a two-week period of annual field training at Fort Lewis.

Marksmanship

During 1965, X Corps conducted rifle and pistol elimination matches in preparation for participation in Sixth Army and higher matches. In addition, X Corps USAR personnel participated in NRA, regional, and local civilian matches. Participation in these matches was considered excellent.

During October, November, and December 1964 subordinate units conducted elimination matches to select participants for X Corps rifle and pistol matches conducted in January and February 1965.

During September and October 1965, subordinate units conducted elimination matches for X Corps rifle and pistol matches conducted in October and November 1965.

Corps elimination matches were conducted twice as a result of changes in dates of Sixth Army matches. The matches of October and November were held early to insure ample time lapse for team practice prior to the Sixth Army matches to be conducted in March 1966.

Matches conducted by X US Army Corps are summarized by the following table:

<u>Match</u>	<u>FY</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Participants</u>
Pistol	65	20-21 Feb	126
Rifle	65	30-31 Jan	179
Pistol	65	16-17 Oct	96
Rifle	66	6--7 Nov	130

A reduction in the number of participants in October and November reflects a policy change which eliminates participation in both matches.

Classification of participants follows the classification of the National Rifle Association in order of excellence: master, expert, sharpshooter, and marksman.

A small arms firing school was conducted prior to each of the Corps matches to indoctrinate new competitors with safety and refinement of firing techniques. Personnel instructing courses had been trained at national matches or Sixth Army matches as instructors and coaches.

Corps matches include both individual and team participation. Team participation is based upon one team from each of the five Sector Commands and one from each of the four General Officer Commands.

Support requirements for both rifle and pistol matches were made available to X Corps by Fort Lewis for billeting, messing, and firing

ranges. Support and cooperation by Fort Lewis personnel was superior.

The X Corps matches were a marked success. As a result of the matches 38 rifle and 32 pistol competitors qualified to be sent to participate in Sixth Army matches as X Corps representatives. Of these, 12 rifle and 8 pistol competitors qualified in the Sixth Army matches for participation in national matches at Camp Perry, Ohio.

X Corps personnel were awarded badges as a result of competition at Sixth Army and higher matches as follows:

<u>RIFLE</u>	<u>NO.</u>
Distinguished Rifleman Badge	3
Excellence in Competition Badge (Silver)	5
Excellence in Competition Badge (Bronze)	5

<u>PISTOL</u>	
Distinguished Pistol Shot Badge	1
Excellence in Competition Badge (Silver)	1
Excellence in Competition Badge (Bronze)	1

X US Army Corps marksmen participated in the following matches during 1965:

X Corps Rifle	179/130
X Corps Pistol	126/ 96
Sixth US Army Rifle	38
Sixth US Army Pistol	32
Pre Camp Perry Rifle	4
National Pistol	10
Rocky Mountain NRA Regional	14

46th Annual Wyoming State High Power	4
Utah State High Power Rifle Champ	14
Montana State Rifle Champ Matches	15
Idaho City Regional	7
North Pacific Regional Pistol	9
Nevada State Champ Rifle	6
Regional Pistol Match (Tacoma)	12
USAR Regional Pistol Match (Medford)	6
WSR & Penn St Meets and Governors Twenty	10
Seattle Seafair Pistol Match	7
Paul Bunyan (Puyallup) (Mar)	11
Paul Bunyan (Puyallup) (May)	11
Kitsap Regional (Bremerton)	10
Arizona NRA High Power Rifle	2
Paul Bunyan Blue Ox (Rifle)	10
Leopold Match at Douglas Ridge	10
California State Pistol Champ	4

Reserve Officers' Training Corps

In addition to the normal activities, the ROTC division placed into motion the provisions of PL 88-647, "ROTC Vitalization Act" passed by Congress, 14 October 1964.

The new ROTC Law provided for four-year scholarships; two-year scholarships and a two-year advanced program. The two-year program requires a summer camp of six weeks to replace the basic college ROTC

training. The first basic camp was established 13 June to permit students interested in obtaining a commission their last two years of college to attend. Recruitment and the processing of these potential candidates was a major effort. Results compared to the national input of the X US Army Corps, were gratifying. X US Army Corps sent 101 candidates of 623 to the first basic summer camp. Of these 101 students, 73 were from colleges conducting an ROTC program (elective) and 28 were from students completing their second year at junior colleges and transferring to four-year institutions to continue their education.

Additional facts for school year 1964-1965 are:

Enrollment	Total	8,335
Enrollment Sr Div		5,889
Enrollment Jr Div		2,446
Commissioned		524
Summer Camp, Fort Lewis		498

The ROTC activity this Headquarters was released to Sixth US Army 1 July by Sixth US Army General Order No. 97, 29 June 1965.

Flight Detachment

While helping carry out the Corps mission the flight detachment operated and maintained one U6A (Beaver) and one U8D (Seminole) aircraft during 1965, compiling 821 total flight hours. The detachment during the past two calendar years has flown 1,665 hours of accident-and-incident-free flying.

Some of the more important functions of the flight detachment included assisting USAR units in accomplishment of annual flight requirements and associated training. The detachment also assisted the Department of Interior migratory waterfowl program.

SECTION II - PLANS AND ORGANIZATION

Organization

During the year extensive and detailed studies were conducted by the Headquarters staff in response to announcements made at the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of the Army levels concerning:

1. The merging of the US Army Reserve with the Army National Guard.
2. The inactivation of US Army Corps.
3. The elimination of Reinforcing Reserve units which were not required by Department of the Army contingency plans.

In planning for the merging of the Army Reserve with the Army National Guard, based upon Department of the Army plans, an X Corps Merger Plan was developed. The planning was closely coordinated with the State Adjutants General of Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah and Washington. X US Army Corps formed a team of staff officers who traveled to each of the states to brief the State Adjutants General and their staffs on preliminary plans for the merger. All problems were resolved with the military staffs of each state and the merger plan was published in final form on 4 June and distributed to all commands concerned to be implemented when directed by appropriate authority. The plan consisted of a basic plan and contained annexes concerning all areas of consideration -- personnel, including Army reservists; civilian technicians and Active Army advisory personnel; logistical matters, and the disposition of equipment and facilities. It provided

a checklist for major actions to be accomplished by the responsible members of staffs, unit commanders and Army advisors.

Concurrent with the merger planning, a detailed plan for the inactivation of X US Army Corps was developed in view of the fact that implementation of the merger plan would eliminate the mission of US Army Corps. An X Corps inactivation plan was published on 11 June to be implemented when directed by higher authority. This plan contained a concept of operations and a detailed listing of actions to be accomplished by the Corps staff and major subordinate commanders.

All plans pertaining to the Army National Guard-US Army Reserve merger proposal and the inactivation of US Army Corps were officially suspended by Department of the Army on 12 August.

As an aftermath of suspending the merger proposal, Department of the Army announced on 13 November plans to eliminate US Army Reserve units that were not essential or needed for current Department of the Army contingency plans. The main objective was to make personnel affected by the inactivations available for units of the SRF and other Immediate Reserve units being retained in the Army Reserve program. Excess personnel who could not be assigned to Army Reserve units were encouraged to join Army National Guard units.

In line with Department of the Army, US Continental Army Command and Sixth US Army directives, the X Corps Operation Plan No. 5 was developed and published on 15 November. It contained detailed guidance

and procedures to the Corps staff and subordinate commanders for the inactivation of Reinforcing Reserve units by 31 December 1965. It also contained plans to permit maximum absorption of trained, qualified personnel by every possible means. This included action to relocate within X Corps, six USAR units which were below minimum standards at their current stations, to new areas to absorb these excess personnel.

Associated with the plan to inactivate unneeded Reinforcing Reserve units was a study to relocate all elements of the 191st Infantry Brigade (Mechanized) from the X Corps area to the XV Corps area. This study was directed by Headquarters Sixth US Army on 18 November with the objective of relocating the brigade to the Los Angeles area to absorb some of the 8,000 reservists made surplus by the inactivation of the 63d Division. A plan was fully developed and submitted to Sixth Army on 21 November. It revealed that movement of the brigade elements from the states of Idaho, Montana and Utah, plus the loss of units through inactivation, would create a surplus of 2,161 trained personnel as compared to some 615 personnel if the 191st Brigade remained in X Corps. The study indicated the states of Montana and Utah would sustain the heaviest loss. Montana would have only four USAR troop program units remaining and Utah would have a total of 25.

Studies made by Headquarters Sixth Army of the plan to inactivate units and to relocate the 191st Brigade out of X Corps area revealed the severity of the impact on the X Corps personnel situation.

On 23 November, X Corps headquarters was directed by Sixth Army to conduct a feasibility study to place one straight infantry battalion

3. The Reinforcing Reserve units shown below were inactivated in the X US Army Corps area per Sixth US Army general orders on dates shown.

<u>Unit and Location</u>	<u>Inactivation Date</u>
96th Hq Comd Div HHC (Utah)	31 Dec 65
462d Cml Gp HHD (Wash)	20 Dec 65
334th Cml Co Decon Type B (Wash)	23 Dec 65
498th Engr Comd Amph Spt (Wash)	31 Dec 65
659th Engr Co Const Spt (Wash)	22 Dec 65
465th Engr Co Float Bridge (Ore)	22 Dec 65
3/10 FA Bn H 155 T (Mont)	20 Dec 65
153d MI Det Div (Utah)	20 Dec 65
889th QM Co Petrl Depot (Utah)	21 Dec 65
539th SC Co Base Maint Type B (Utah)	31 Dec 65
17th SF Gp, Co A, Abn/Aug (Idaho, Mont, Ore, Wash)	31 Dec 65
816th TC Bn Trk HHD w/Aug (Ore)	23 Dec 65
210th TC Co Hvy Truck (Ore)	22 Dec 65
471st TC Co M Trk C Type B (Idaho)	22 Dec 65
732d TC Co M Trk C Type B (Wash)	21 Dec 65

The inactivation of the above units created a serious impact on the Reserve personnel situation in X US Army Corps. The loss of these troop program units, without the allocation of replacement units, created an excess of 2,195 trained and qualified reserve personnel.

A total of 1,437 were reassigned to USAR troop program units retained in the X Corps area; 102 were assigned to X Corps Reinforcement Training units and 631 personnel were transferred to Control groups for lack of unit vacancies. Fifteen elected to join the Army National Guard and 10 were retired or discharged.

Of notable significance was the loss of two of X Corps' general officer commands. The 498th Engineer Command commanded by Brig. Gen. Max K. Bitts, was the last remaining Engineer Amphibious Command in the US Army. The other organization was the 96th Command Headquarters, Divisional, commanded by Brig. Gen. Ray D. Free, which was the successor to Headquarters and Headquarters Company, 96th Infantry Division. All units assigned to the 96th Command Headquarters, Divisional, were relieved from this assignment and reassigned to Headquarters X Corps, per Sixth Army general orders effective 31 December.

As a result of the inactivation of these two general officer commands, a pool of highly qualified, professionally competent, senior grade officers and senior grade enlisted personnel was created. Assignments to appropriate troop program units were not available to many of these personnel because of their grades. Much emphasis was being placed on the combat readiness of USAR units through stepped-up home station training under the Multiple Unit Training Assemblies Program and the intensified training program for Selected Reserve Force units. To provide a suitable means for continued training and reserve participation of these trained staffs and to assist the Corps with its

increased training responsibilities, the following Reinforcement Training units were organized by Sixth US Army general orders, at locations and on dates indicated.

HHC, 96th Command Headquarters, Divisional (RTU) Salt Lake City, Utah	22 Dec 65
HHC, 498th Engineer Brigade (Amph) (RTU), Seattle, Washington	22 Dec 65
HHC, 6348th Engr Amph Gp (RTU), Pasco, Wash.	22 Dec 65
HHC, 6355th Engr Gp (Cbt)(RTU), Seattle, Wash.	27 Dec 65
HHC, 6379th Engr Amph Bn (RTU), Spokane, Wash.	22 Dec 65

These units were assigned training projects and missions as follows:

1. Planning responsibility for the conduct and supervision of unit training tests and unit proficiency tests.
2. Assist the Corps headquarters in performing staff and liaison visits to units for the purpose of monitoring and assisting the units in their training programs.
3. Assist the Corps headquarters by furnishing personnel to perform duties as evaluators of USAR units performing ANACDUTRA.

Concurrent with the inactivation of the Reinforcing Reserve units, and to absorb trained personnel being made surplus, Department of the Army approved three X Corps units for relocation within the X Corps area. Relocations approved were:

1. Transportation Company, 104th Division (Tng), from Portland, Ore., to Prineville, Ore., to absorb personnel of the 210th Transportation Company (Hvy Trk).

2. Company C, 321st Engineer Battalion from Pocatello, Idaho, to Spokane, Wash., to absorb personnel of 379th Engineer Battalion elements.

3. The 382d Ordnance Company (DS) from Tooele, Utah, to Salt Lake City, Utah.

On 16 December X Corps was advised by USCONARC that Department of the Army would effect a nation-wide reorganization of Special Forces units prior to 31 December. In addition to inactivation of Company A, 17th Special Forces Group (Abn), the Headquarters and Headquarters Company of the Group would be inactivated in January 1966. Company E, 12th Special Forces Group (Abn) would be activated 1 January 1966. By Department of the Army restriction, elements of Company E, 12th Special Forces Group (Abn) would be stationed in Oregon and Washington. On 17 December, a stationing plan for the new unit was submitted to Hq USCONARC and Hq Sixth US Army. The plan provided for Company E (-) to be located in Seattle, with detachments of varying sizes to be stationed in Tacoma, Spokane and Vancouver, Wash., and in Portland and Corvallis, Ore. Sixth Army General Orders No. 229, dated 23 December, activated Company E, 12th Special Forces Group (Abn) effective 1 January 1966 and the orders inactivated Hq and Hq Co, 17th Special Forces Group (Abn) 1st Special Forces effective 31 January 1966.

Plans

Two complete revisions of Annex E (Mobilization Expansion Plan) to the Sixth Army Basic Plan 1964 (U) required this headquarters to

prepare supporting plans. Annex E (Mobilization Expansion Plan) X Corps Basic Plan 1965 (U) was revised first on 1 May and again on 4 November. All USAR troop program units in X Corps were directed to prepare and submit new or revised unit mobilization plans by 1 July 1965 and 1 February 1966 respectively. The designation of SRF units and the advent of Mobilization CPX ANGRY ARM II for March 1966, made it imperative that all mobilization plans be complete in every detail.

The X US Army Corps Basic Plan was completely revised on 1 May. Minor changes required after May were published when necessary.

Effective 27 December, due to inactivation of the unit, the 498th Engineer Command was relieved of its Land Special Security Forces mission with Northern Defense Sector.

Operations

Exercise OLD FOX was conducted 21 January and 9 September and two Joint Chiefs of Staff directed, no-notice, ROUNDHOUSE exercises were conducted on 18 March and 18 November. Each of these four exercises was conducted expeditiously and indicated that the X Corps alert notification system is adequate for alerting USAR units for call to active duty and for DEFCON communication tests.

CPX ANGRY ARM I, a mobilization plans-type exercise, was conducted from 4 January to 21 March. The exercise was designed to test the adequacy and effectiveness of X Corps Headquarters plans and procedures for the mobilization of units. Fifty-one USAR units and fifty ARNG

units of the five-state area participated. All of the actions and procedures for call to active duty and move to mobilization stations were executed, step-by-step, by the units at home stations. As a result of the success of this exercise, X Corps is in much better position to implement a possible mobilization.

X Corps advance planning for CPX ANGRY ARM II began in December although as of 31 December an exercise directive was yet to be received from higher headquarters. The purpose of this exercise will be the same as that for CPX ANGRY ARM I. It was planned that a greater number of USAR and ARNG units would participate in the forthcoming exercise.

SECTION III - LOGISTICS

The logistics area within the Corps underwent considerable turbulence during 1965. Developing merger plans and establishing priorities were of prime importance during the first half of the year. This involved coordinating with USF&PO of each state for the orderly disposition of supplies and equipment for USAR units scheduled for transfer to the National Guard, or to be inactivated, and phase-out of the Corps under the DOD proposed reorganization of the USAR and National Guard.

When this reorganization was held in abeyance, regrouping action began and a working logistical program was again established.

In July, certain USAR units were designated to conduct MUTA training. Logistic requirements were computed for support of this training with emphasis on support for units scheduled for field training. Redistribution of Corps assets and reprogramming of funds afforded sufficient supplies and equipment for these units to meet initial training requirements.

As has been stated, two X Corps units were designated SRF units with concurrent authorization to increase personnel and equipment to 100 percent TO&E. Again, redistribution of Corps assets, reprogramming funds, processing priority requisitions and computation of additional requirements provided the support for these units. At the end of the year they had on hand all equipment authorized.

In November, certain X Corps units were designated for inactivation prior to 31 December. Vigorous action was taken and all supplies

and equipment from these units were transferred or redistributed within sectors. Property books and supporting documents were closed, inspected and destroyed. Host units and subsector commands held excesses until Corps requirements were determined and lateral transfers completed. Upon satisfying all Corps requirements, excess will be reported to Sixth US Army for disposition.

Supply

The reorganization of the supply system was restudied, planned and approved by Sixth US Army with target implementation of 15 February 1966 for both ASO No. 1 at Fort Lewis, Wash., and ASO No. 2 at Fort Douglas, Utah.

The equipment asset report (AR 711-5) was found to be a valuable tool for use in supply management. This report was used to determine Corps assets, requirements, etc., in support of numerous projects. The importance of accurate and timely reporting was quite evident and further refinement actions have been accomplished.

Punched card requisitioning procedures for USAR units were implemented in March with subsequent improvement in supply procedures.

Maintenance

The IAERS and Materiel Readiness Program was further refined with emphasis on proper maintenance, records keeping and reporting and became a very effective program within Corps.

The Corps maintenance program continued to show improvement and the value of the AOMS was evident. Added emphasis was placed on

Materiel Readiness with an ultimate goal of all units attaining satisfactory ratings in Sixth Army CMMI.

Corps policy was established to permit USAR unit man-day spaces to enable participation in CMMI. Permitting the commanding officer and key maintenance personnel to be present during the inspection paid dividends in OJT and better understanding.

Facilities

X US Army Corps occupied 93 facilities on 1 January 1965:

Constructed	29
Government owned	23
Commercial lease	33
Donated	8

A 100-man W/IBMS constructed center was completed and beneficially occupied 30 April in Bellingham, Wash. This completed all programmed construction of USAR centers for X US Army Corps. All construction programming for USAR has been suspended pending a decision regarding the proposed merger of ARNG and USAR.

Facilities actions were stabilized most of the year due to the uncertain future of USAR units. Job order requests were limited to those required to provide adequate security for weapons and equipment.

As a result of inactivation of reserve units, six facilities were vacated; however, disposal authority has been delayed pending DA approval.

The Idaho Sector Command was relocated to the Boise USAR Center, permitting disposal of a government-owned facility. Four leased

centers and one donated facility were eliminated by relocating units to other existing centers.

Only one new center was acquired, which was obtained on a cost free basis from the Richland, Wash., Community Club. The facility is being utilized by a non-troop program unit formerly meeting in Pasco, Wash.

As of 31 December, the USAR was occupying 88 facilities as follows:

USAR Constructed	30
Government-owned	22
Commercial lease	28
Donated	8

SECTION IV - COMMUNITY RELATIONS, PUBLIC INFORMATION AND RECRUITING

The major running USAR story of the year naturally dealt with the realignment of Army Reserve Components. Hundreds of stories, the majority of them handled by the press associations from Washington, were printed. Authorities in the various states released stories in March on the effect of the plan on particular states. In some cases only statistical summaries were used and in others detailed troop programs.

Top subject at the end of the year concerned the DOD decision to inactivate 751 USAR units in the United States and the creation of select Reserve Forces units. All five states in the Corps area were affected by the cutback announcement, the subsequent deactivation of these units and the repositioning of certain other units.

An extensive information campaign was instituted in X Corps in the spring to acquaint prospective enrollees in the ROTC program and among those who influence their decisions with features of the new ROTC program. Many visits were made to junior colleges to acquaint men who intend to take their last two years of college in a senior institution of the new two-year program. College approvals of new contracts covering the two-and four-year programs were utilized in several cases to promote the programs.

One of the oldest names in Washington State history was given the new USAR Center in Bellingham, Wash. As "Stevens Hall," the structure was named for Maj. Gen. Isaac I. Stevens, USMA 1839, the first territorial governor of Washington, who was killed in 1862 and his son,

Hazard, who was awarded the Medal of Honor in 1863 and who was promoted to brigadier general in 1865. Hazard Stevens died in Washington State in 1918. Among the guests at the dedication ceremony 9 May were Robert T. Stevens of New York City, former Secretary of the Army, who spoke at the ceremony, and Mrs. Robert L. Eskridge of Olympia, Wash. Both Mr. Stevens and Mrs. Eskridge are descendants of General Stevens.

Top Armed Forces Day event in the Northwest was the Armed Forces Spectacular presented in the Seattle Center Coliseum 13 and 14 May. The Spectacular was a panorama of American military colors with nine scenes ranging from the protection of the early colonists by the English troops who were portrayed by Canadian troops and the Canadian Engineers Marching Band, to Korea. The show, attended by more than 7,000 persons on 13 May and a 10,000 capacity crowd 14 May with 2,000 more turned away, featured six crack marching bands, the US Army Field Band and chorus plus active military personnel and Seattle reservists and ROTC cadets from the University of Washington and Seattle University. The program included a formal guard mount, overture, pre-Revolutionary, Revolutionary, Civil War, Spanish-American, World War I, World War II, Korean War and the finale scenes. The entire spectacular received superlative news coverage both in advance and after the event. All reviews were complimentary to the massive presentation of pageantry.

Following is a summary of public information activities during the year:

CY 1965 - PUBLIC INFORMATION

	<u>NEWS RELEASES</u>	<u>TALKS TO CIVILIANS</u>	<u>EXHIBITS AND DEMONSTRATIONS</u>
<u>USAR</u>			
Idaho Sector	99	17	8
Montana Sector	217	22	12
Oregon Sector	652	139	91
Utah Sector	577	45	6
Washington Sector	889	121	31
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	2,434	344	148
<u>ROTC</u> (first six months)	<hr/> 953	<hr/> 292	<hr/> 233
TOTAL	3,387	636	381

Throughout 1964, recruiting through all programs for USAR units was disappointing. Particularly during the summer of 1964 and primarily because of drastically reduced Selective Service quotas and the publicly-announced plans to abolish the draft altogether, recruiting under the REP '63 enlistment program declined noticeably, despite an aggressive and vigorous recruiting campaign. Finally, the announcement of the merger of USAR units with the National Guard reduced recruiting under this program to a trickle. Enlistments under this program picked up in the spring of 1965 however, when it became apparent that the merger was not to be accomplished and then became a flood concurrently with the vastly increased Selective Service inputs during the early summer.

Effective 1 July, all individuals being released from the Active Army with a remaining Reserve obligation were no longer reassigned to the Corps, but were assigned directly to the USAAC, in accordance with the plan for centralization of records of non-unit personnel. Effective 1 August, All National Guard transferees were also assigned to USAAC. This cut off the Corps' prime source of personnel through which the strength of units has been maintained over the past several years. Despite this loss of resources, the greatly increased recruitment under the REP 63 program resulted in the filling of all but approximately 400 enlisted position vacancies by the end of 1965.

Unfortunately, this was a mixed blessing. Beginning in March because of increased Active Army requirements at basic training centers, the Corps has not received sufficient training input quotas to accommodate individuals enlisted under this program. As of 31 December, there were 2,025 individuals enlisted in USAR units under this program who had not entered on their initial tours of active duty for training. Further, the prospects of receiving sufficient quotas to alleviate this problem in the immediate future are dim. The few input quotas received were allocated to SRF units on a priority basis.

Many units achieved full PDOS strength and were no longer authorized to recruit. The only recruitment being accomplished at the end of the year was against existing unit vacancies in units which are not up to strength and the continued attempts to procure officer personnel. Critical shortages still exist throughout the Corps for company grade officers of all branches. (See Appendix I).

Effective 1 October, the recruiting districts assumed responsibility for enlisting female and male applicants in the USAR for participation in the Army student nurse and Walter Reed Army Institute of Nursing programs. Enlistments and concurrent order to active duty, formerly accomplished by Corps, are now performed by Armed Forces Examining and Entrance Stations.

To meet the urgent requirement for company grade officer personnel, a number of restrictions were removed from the voluntary active duty program. Significant changes were removal of limitation on age and date of rank for applications by company grade and warrant officers as pertained to aviators, and waiver of maximum age from one to two years for company grade officers. To reduce time required to provide applicants with final answer to their applications for extended active duty, processing procedures were changed to by-pass Corps Headquarters in forwarding of applications direct to the Sixth Army commander. Standing boards of officers were established at several Sector Commands to facilitate processing of applications for appointment with concurrent active duty. The provision that applications by-pass this headquarters has not served to reduce the workload in the Officer Branch of Reserve Personnel Division. By the end of the year, counseling and advising interested applicants has become a full-time job for one person.

APPENDIX I - USAR STRENGTH REPORT

USAR STRENGTH REPORT NO.

12-65

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
HEADQUARTERS X US ARMY CORPS
FORT LAWTON, WASHINGTON 98199

DATE

31 December 1965

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF X CORPS USAR STRENGTH

TROOP PROGRAM UNITS	OFF	WO	ENL	TOTAL	RESERVE CATEGORIES	OFF	WO	ENL	TOTAL
	*PDOS **ASGD	PDOS ASGD	PDOS ASGD	PDOS ASGD		ASGD	ASGD	ASGD	ASGD
191st Inf Brigade (Mech)	222 146	24 25	2617 2359	2863 2530	<u>READY RESERVE (Less RMRP)</u> Troop Program Units	1796	159	10669	12624
104th Division (Training)	635 528	22 33	2539 2965	3196 3526		Mobilization Designation Selective Service Dets	23	0	0
<u>NON-GOC UNITS</u>									
Idaho	85 64	4 9	583 555	672 628	TOTAL ASSIGNED X US ARMY CORPS READY RESERVE	1819	159	10669	12647
Montana	73 32	1 7	220 191	294 230					
Oregon	222 168	7 8	811 645	1040 821	<u>READY RESERVE (RMRP)</u> (No Assigned Strength - All Personnel Attached from USAAC, St Louis, Mo.)				
Utah	334 202	29 40	1581 1527	1944 1769	USAR Schools (Stu Det)	344	0	0	344
Washington	688 516	30 37	2232 2362	2950 2915	Reinforcement Tng (Non-Troop Units)	349	15	87	451
USAR Schools (S&F)	149 140	0 0	88 65	237 205	USAR Research & Development Units	47	1	4	52
TOTAL - TROOP PROGRAM UNITS	2408 1796	117 159	10671 10669	13196 12624	TOTAL	740	16	91	847

* PDOS: Pay Drill Objective Strength.

** ASGD: Assigned Strength.

Ref: X Corps Regulations No. 140-26, 1 Dec 64.

SECTION II - IDAHO SECTOR - A. TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (10)

	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL	ENLISTED		TOTAL		
			PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD	AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
<u>191st INF BDE (MECH)</u>												
<u>191st Spt Bn</u>												
	Pocatello	04	(10)		(0)		115	(84)		(94)		
	Co B (Med)		9	1	0	0		53	65	62	66	
	Co B (-)		1	0	0	0		31	8	32	8	
	Amb Plat (Montpelier)											
	Subtotal		10	1	0	0	115	84	73	94	74	
<u>NON-GOC UNITS</u>												
	Boise	06	1	2	0	0	2	2	2	3	4	
	Boise	06	1	1	0	0	2	2	3	3	4	
	Boise	06	1	1	0	0	3	3	4	4	5	
	Boise	06	16	12	3	4	141	110	129	129	145	
	Pocatello	06	8	6	1	2	22	14	16	23	24	
	Idaho Falls	06	(7)		(1)		277	(206)		(213)		
			3	4	0	1		88	47	91	52	
			2	1	0	0		76	86	78	87	
6			2	1	0	0		42	45	44	46	
	Twin Falls	06	(7)		(0)		277	(206)		(213)		
			4	2	0	2		148	110	152	114	
			3	1	0	0		58	75	61	76	
	Rexburg	06	44	33	0	0	40	40	38	84	71	
	Boise	C	26	21	0	0	15	15	12	41	33	
				(17)		(0)				(10)		
				(1)		(0)				(1)		
				(1)		(0)				(1)		
				(1)		(0)				(0)		
				(1)		(0)				(0)		
				(0)		(0)				(0)		
				(0)		(0)				(0)		
				(0)		(0)				(0)		
	Subtotal		111	85	4	9	779	598	567	713	661	
<u>TOTAL TROOP PROGRAM UNITS</u>			121	86	4	9	894	682	640	807	735	

SECTION II - IDAHO SECTOR (Cont)

LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL TOE/TD	ENLISTED		TOTAL	
		PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD		PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
<u>B. MOB-DES SELECTIVE SERVICE</u>										
Det 7	Boise		6		0				0	6
<u>TOTAL ASSIGNED IDAHO SECTOR</u>		121	92	4	9	894	682	640	807	741
<u>C. + NON TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (RTU) (4)</u>										
6311 Log Comd (B)(Reinf Tng) HHD	Pocatello		14		2				1	17
	Idaho Falls		6		0				1	7
6352d Log Comd(B)(RT) HHD	Boise		14		2				8	24
6228th Boise USAR Sch(Stu)	Boise		21		0				0	21
6349 Mksmship Unit(RT)	Twin Falls		4		0				15	19
<u>TOTAL ATTACHED IDAHO SECTOR</u>			59		4				25	88

SECTION III - MONTANA SECTOR - A. TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (13)

	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL TGE/TO	ENLISTED		TOTAL	
			PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD		PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
<u>191st INF BDE (MECH)</u>											
191st Bde, HHC	Helena	04	(29)		(2)		195	(139)		(170)	
HHC (-)			25	21	2	3		52	42	79	66
MP & Sig Plat (Bozeman)			2	2	0	0		69	34	71	36
Med Plat (Havre)			2	1	0	0		18	15	20	16
<u>191st Spt Bn</u>											
HHD	Great Falls	04	8	6	0	0	39	27	28	35	34
Co A (Admin)(-)	Great Falls	04	15	7	3	3	99	70	71	88	81
<u>35th Arty 4th How Bn</u>											
HHB	Billings	04	14	12	0	0	108	78	80	92	92
Btry A	Billings	04	5	3	0	0	98	72	80	77	83
Btry B	Great Falls	04	5	3	0	0	98	72	72	77	75
Btry C	Missoula	04	(5)		(0)		98	(72)		(77)	
Btry C (-)			3	3	0	0		29	58	32	61
Firing Btry Hq(Lewiston)			2	2	0	0		43	58	45	60
Svc Btry	Billings	04	2	2	3	3	73	55	55	60	60
391st Engr Co	Missoula	04	(5)		(1)		194	(144)		(150)	
391st Engr Co (-)			4	2	1	1		99	49	104	52
BridgePlat (Kalispell)			1	2	0	0		45	59	46	61
Subtotal			88	66	9	10	1002	729	701	826	777
<u>NON-GOC UNITS</u>											
115th Med Det (Surg)	Missoula	02	4	2	0	0	3	3	3	7	5
321st Med Bn, HHD	Missoula	07	7	6	0	1	27	18	15	25	22
396th Sta Hosp	Helena	06	55	23	1	2	125	80	87	136	112
841st Ord Co	Butte	02	7	1	0	4	151	119	86	126	91
Subtotal			73	32	1	7	306	220	191	294	230
<u>TOTAL TROOP PROGRAM UNITS & TOTAL ASSIGNED MONTANA SECTOR</u>			161	98	10	17	1308	949	892	1120	1007
<u>B. + NON-TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (RTU)(2)</u>											
6346 USA Gar (Reinf Tng)	Billings			13		2			0		15
6351 Sp MkmsHP Unit(Reinf, Helena Tng)				10		2			9		21
<u>TOTAL ATTACHED MONTANA SECTOR</u>				23		4			9		36

SECTION IV - OREGON SECTOR (Cont)

	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL	ENLISTED		TOTAL		
			PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD	AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
<u>104th DIV (TNG) Cont</u>												
<u>414th Regt Cont</u>												
HHC, 2d Bn	Medford	05	5	4	0	1	4	4	4	9	9	
Co E	Medford	05	7	2	0	1	31	31	37	38	40	
Co F	Medford	05	7	3	0	0	31	31	38	38	41	
Co G	Medford	05	7	3	0	0	31	31	37	38	40	
Co H	Klamath Falls	05	7	5	0	0	31	31	27	38	32	
HHC, 3d Bn	Eugene	05	5	6	0	0	4	4	5	9	11	
Co I	Eugene	05	7	5	0	0	31	31	36	38	41	
Co K	Coos Bay	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	31	38	35	
Co L	Eugene	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	34	38	38	
Co M	Roseburg	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	32	38	36	
415th Regt NCO Academy	Vancouver Bks	05	5	2	0	0	15	15	12	20	14	
Subtotal			336	259	13	18	1345	1345	1409	1694	1686	
<u>NON-GOC UNITS</u>												
45th Sta Hosp	Vancouver Bks	06	79	44	1	0	198	129	129	209	173	
302d Cml Co	Salem	02	4	4	0	0	127	101	102	105	106	
308th Trans Co	Portland	02	6	5	0	1	323	257	102	263	108	
313th Conv Cen, HHC	Vancouver Bks	06	38	28	1	2	203	142	134	181	164	
313th Conv Cen, Recond Bn	Eugene	06	14	13	0	0	28	18	22	32	35	
342d Base PO	Portland	06	5	4	0	0	70	51	54	56	58	
342d Trans Det	Portland	06	1	1	0	0	42	31	20	32	21	
364th CA Area, Hq B	Portland	06	24	24	1	1	20	20	20	45	45	
382d QM Bn, HHD	Medford	06	8	4	1	1	19	16	17	25	22	
451st CA Gp	Portland	06	42	40	3	3	39	39	36	84	79	
531st Trans Det	Eugene	06	1	1	0	0	7	7	9	8	10	
Portland USAR Sch(6229)(S&F) Vanc Bks		C	33	31	0	0	18	18	10	51	41	
6229-0 (Vancouver Bks)				(25)		(0)			(9)			
6229-1 (Salem)				(3)		(0)			(1)			
6229-2 (Eugene)				(2)		(0)			(0)			
6229-3 (Medford)				(1)		(0)			(0)			
Subtotal			255	199	7	8	1094	829	655	1091	862	
<u>TOTAL TROOP PROGRAM UNITS</u>			591	458	20	26	2439	2174	2064	2785	2548	

SECTION IV - OREGON SECTOR - A. TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (52)

	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL	ENLISTED		TOTAL		
			PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD	AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
<u>104th DIV (TNG)</u>												
	104th Div, HHC	Vancouver Bks	05	75	66	3	4	105	105	106	183	176
	104th Div, Band	Vancouver Bks	05	0	0	1	0	28	28	28	29	28
	104th Div, Rec Co	Medford	05	2	1	0	0	18	18	19	20	20
	104th Div, Spt Co	Vancouver Bks	05	6	6	2	2	68	68	66	76	74
	104th Div, Test Co	Vancouver Bks	05	11	7	0	0	62	62	51	73	58
	104th Div, Trans Co	Prineville	05	(5)		(1)			(186)		(192)	
	Trans Co (-)			3	2	0	1		65	62	68	65
	Trans & Maint Det(Vancouver Bks)			2	1	1	2		121	111	124	114
	<u>104th Regt, 3d Bn</u>											
	HHC	Portland	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	4	9	9
	Co I	Astoria	05	7	6	0	0	32	32	34	39	40
	Co K	Portland	05	7	8	0	0	32	32	35	39	43
	Co L	Portland	05	7	6	0	0	32	32	33	39	39
	Co M	Portland	05	7	6	0	0	32	32	34	39	40
	<u>413th Regt</u>											
03	HHC	Vancouver Bks	05	11	11	3	3	28	28	33	42	47
	HHC, 1st Bn	Portland	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	5	9	10
	Co A	Portland	05	7	5	0	0	31	31	32	38	37
	Co B	Portland	05	7	4	0	1	31	31	34	38	39
	Co C	Portland	05	7	2	0	0	31	31	31	38	33
	Co D	Portland	05	7	3	0	0	31	31	32	38	35
	HHC, 2d Bn	Portland	05	5	4	0	0	4	4	4	9	8
	Co E	Portland	05	7	3	0	0	31	31	29	38	32
	Co F	Portland	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	30	38	36
	Co G	Portland	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	35	38	41
	Co H	Portland	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	34	38	40
	HHC, 3d Bn	Vancouver Bks	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	4	9	9
	Co L	Vancouver Bks	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	30	38	34
	<u>414th Regt</u>											
	HHC	Salem	05	11	13	3	3	28	28	33	42	49
	HHC, 1st Bn	Corvallis	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	5	9	10
	Co A	Corvallis	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	40	38	46
	Co B	Corvallis	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	40	38	44
	Co C	Corvallis	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	41	38	47
	Co D	Corvallis	05	7	5	0	0	31	31	41	38	46

SECTION IV - OREGON SECTOR (Cont)

	<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY</u>		<u>OFFICER</u>		<u>WO</u>		<u>ENL</u>		<u>ENLISTED</u>		<u>TOTAL</u>	
				<u>PDOS</u>	<u>ASGD</u>	<u>PDOS</u>	<u>ASGD</u>	<u>TOE/TD</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>PDOS</u>	<u>ASGD</u>	<u>PDOS</u>	<u>ASGD</u>
<u>B. MOB DES SELECTIVE SERVICE</u>													
Det 8	Salem				6			0				0	6
<u>TOTAL ASSIGNED OREGON SECTOR</u>				591	464	20	26	2439		2174	2064	2785	2554
<u>C. + NON-TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (RTU)(10)</u>													
6161st R&D Unit(RT)	Corvallis				11			0			4		15
6229 Portland USAR Sch(Stu)	Vancouver Bks				95			0			0		95
6313th USA Gar(RT)	Astoria				4			1			0		5
6327th USA Gar (RT)	Eugene				18			1			1		20
6328th USA Gar (RT)	Vancouver Bks				4			0			3		7
6337th Inf Div HHC (RT)	Medford				8			0			0		8
6343d Log Comd(A)HHD(RT)	Vancouver Bks				24			0			0		24
6345th Engr Gp (RT)	Salem				13			0			4		17
6387th Sp Mkmsshp U(RT)	Portland				12			0			6		18
6388th USAR Study Gp(RT)	Prineville				11			0			0		11
<u>TOTAL ATTACHED OREGON SECTOR</u>				200				2			18		220

SECTION V - UTAH SECTOR A. TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (45)

	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL	ENLISTED		TOTAL		
			PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	
<u>191st INF BDE (MECH)</u>												
8th Cav, Troop D	Ogden	04	5	2	0	1	152	114	80	119	83	
11th Arty 4th How Bn												
HQB	SaltLakeCity	06	17	14	0	0	113	88	98	105	112	
Btry A	Ft Douglas	06	4	2	0	0	102	81	62	85	64	
Btry B	Ft Douglas	06	4	1	0	0	102	81	60	85	61	
Btry C	Ft Douglas	06	4	2	0	0	102	81	57	85	59	
Svc Btry	Ft Douglas	06	2	1	3	3	70	55	58	60	62	
38th Inf 3d Bn												
HHC	Provo	04	19	15	2	2	279	207	202	228	219	
Co A	Provo	04	6	3	0	0	174	129	119	135	122	
Co B	Pleasant Grove	04	6	3	0	0	174	129	91	135	94	
Co C	SaltLakeCity	04	6	5	0	0	174	129	122	135	127	
81st Armor, 4th Tk Bn												
HHC	Ft Douglas	04	19	14	3	4	293	217	188	239	206	
Co A	Ft Douglas	04	5	4	0	0	85	63	64	68	68	
Co B	Ft Douglas	04	5	3	0	0	85	63	74	68	77	
Co C	Ft Douglas	04	5	2	0	0	85	63	64	68	66	
191st Spt Bn												
Det 1 Co A (Admin)	Ft Douglas	05	2	1	0	0	15	15	14	17	15	
Co C (Sup & Trans)	Logan	04	8	5	0	1	155	114	109	122	115	
Co D (Maint)	Ft Douglas	04	7	2	7	4	238	175	123	189	129	
Subtotal			124	79	15	15	2398	1804	1585	1943	1679	
<u>NON-GOC UNITS</u>												
83d Arty, 6th How Bn												
HQB	Ogden	02	19	13	0	0	115	58	58	77	71	
Btry A	Ogden	02	3	1	0	0	117	63	65	66	66	
Btry B	Ogden	02	3	2	0	0	117	63	62	66	64	
Btry C	Ogden	02	3	2	0	0	117	63	65	66	67	
Svc Btry	Ogden	02	3	1	3	3	82	42	46	48	50	
83d JAG Det (Claims)	Ft Douglas	06	3	4	1	1	7	7	9	11	14	
84th JAG Det (GCM)	Ft Douglas	06	5	5	0	0	5	5	4	10	9	
85th JAG Det (GCM)	Ft Douglas	06	5	4	0	0	5	5	4	10	8	

SECTION V - UTAH SECTOR (Cont)

NON-GOC UNITS (Cont)	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL	OFFICER		WO		ENL	ENLISTED		TOTAL	
		CATEGORY	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD	AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS
143d Med Det (Den Svc)	Ft Douglas	06	16	6	0	0	23	13	11	29	17
306 Avn Btry (CorpsArty)	SaltLakeCity	06	10	5	2	1	84	65	67	77	73
328th Gen Hosp	Ft Douglas	06	137	51	1	8	354	231	222	369	281
364th QM Gp HHD	Ft Douglas	02	11	15	1	2	34	25	30	37	47
374th Cml Co	Ogden	02	4	2	0	0	35	35	31	39	33
375th Engr Co	Logan	06	3	4	0	0	56	56	55	59	59
382d Ord Co (DAS)	SaltLakeCity	07	4	2	5	5	114	77	79	86	86
443d Trans Co	Ogden	06	6	3	1	2	78	57	56	64	61
536th Sig Co	Ft Douglas	06	4	2	6	4	136	100	110	110	116
709th Trans Co	SaltLakeCity	06	7	6	4	4	233	172	150	183	160
744th Engr Co	SaltLakeCity	08	6	3	0	2	195	135	86	141	91
751st Army Postal Unit	Ogden	06	1	1	0	0	15	15	15	16	16
807th Trans Co	Ogden	02	6	2	0	2	110	87	83	93	87
6201st Rad Comm Sta	Ft Douglas	05	1	0	0	1	5	5	3	6	4
6202d Rad Comm Sta	Ft Douglas	05	1	1	0	0	5	5	5	6	6
6203d Rad Comm Sta	Ft Douglas	05	1	1	0	0	5	5	2	6	3
6204th Rad Comm Sta	Ft Douglas	05	1	1	0	0	5	5	4	6	5
6205th Rad Comm Sta	Ft Douglas	05	1	0	0	0	5	5	5	6	5
6214 USA Gar	Ft Douglas	05	70	65	5	5	182	182	200	257	270
Ft Douglas USAR Sch (6232) (S&F)	Ft Douglas	C	32	31	0	0	14	14	12	46	43
6232 (Ft Douglas)				(19)		(0)			(10)		
6232-1 (Ogden)				(4)		(0)			(1)		
6232-2 (Logan)				(4)		(0)			(1)		
6232-3 (Provo)				(4)		(0)			(0)		
6232-4 (Cedar City)				(0)		(0)			(0)		
Subtotal			366	233	29	40	2253	1595	1539	1990	1812
TOTAL TROOP PROGRAM UNITS & TOTAL ASSIGNED UTAH SECTOR			490	312	44	55	4651	3399	3124	3933	3491

92

SECTION V - UTAH SECTOR (Cont)

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>		<u>WO</u>		<u>ENL</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	
		<u>PDOS</u>	<u>ASGD</u>	<u>PDOS</u>	<u>ASGD</u>	<u>TOE/TD</u>	<u>AUTH</u>	<u>PDOS</u>	<u>ASGD</u>
<u>B. + NON-TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (RTU)(5)</u>									
HHC 96th Comd Hq Div(RTU)	SaltLakeCity		25		0				25
6150 R&D Unit (ReinfTng)	Ft Douglas		6		0				6
6232 Ft Douglas USAR Sch (Stu)	Ft Douglas		55		0				55
6320 Arty Gp HHB(RT)	Dgden		14		0				14
6338 Sp Mksmshp U (RT)	Ft Douglas		15		0				15
<u>TOTAL ATTACHED UTAH SECTOR</u>			115		0				115

SECTION VI - WASHINGTON SECTOR - A. TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (98)

	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL	ENLISTED		TOTAL	
			PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
<u>104th DIV (TNG)</u>											
104th Regt											
HHC	Ft Lawton	05	11	9	3	3	28	28	31	42	43
HHC, 1st Bn	Tacoma	05	5	6	0	0	4	4	6	9	12
Co A	Port Orchard	05	7	7	0	0	32	32	38	39	45
Co B	Tacoma	05	7	7	0	0	32	32	38	39	45
Co C	Port Townsend	05	7	7	0	0	32	32	29	39	36
Co D	Tacoma	05	7	8	0	0	32	32	56	39	64
HHC, 2d Bn	Seattle	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	5	9	10
Co E	Renton	05	7	8	0	0	32	32	38	39	46
Co F	Ft Lawton	05	7	7	0	0	32	32	32	39	39
Co G	Renton	05	7	5	0	0	32	32	45	39	50
Co H	Renton	05	7	6	0	0	32	32	38	39	44
413th Regt, 3d Bn											
Co I	Aberdeen	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	50	38	54
Co K	Centralia	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	40	38	46
Co M	Longview	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	43	38	47
415th Regt											
HHC	Ft Lawton	05	11	10	3	3	28	28	28	42	41
HHC, 1st Bn	Ft Lawton	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	4	9	9
Co A	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	14	14	17	18	21
Co B	Ft Lawton	05	4	3	0	0	14	14	18	18	21
Co C	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	14	14	19	18	23
Co D	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	1	14	14	16	18	21
Co E	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	14	14	18	18	22
HHC, 2d Bn	Bremerton	05	5	4	0	1	4	4	7	9	12
Co F	Bremerton	05	4	2	0	1	14	14	18	18	21
Co G	Bremerton	05	4	2	0	1	14	14	18	18	21
Co H	Bremerton	05	4	2	0	2	14	14	15	18	19
Co I	Tacoma	05	4	4	0	0	14	14	17	18	21
Co K	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	14	14	18	18	22
Det 1	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	23	23	31	27	35
Det 2	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	33	33	40	37	44
Det 3	Ft Lawton	05	5	5	0	0	53	53	57	58	62
Det 4	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	45	45	56	49	60
Det 5	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	9	9	10	13	14
Det 6	Ft Lawton	05	4	4	0	0	9	9	15	13	19
Det 7	Ft Lawton	05	5	5	0	0	49	49	51	54	56

SECTION VI - WASHINGTON SECTOR (Cont)

LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL	ENLISTED		TOTAL		
		PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD	AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
104th DIV (TNG) Cont											
416th Regt											
HHC	Pasco	05	11	12	3	3	28	28	45	42	60
HHC, 1st Bn	Walla Walla	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	7	9	12
Co A	Trentwood	05	7	7	0	0	31	31	34	38	41
Co B	Walla Walla	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	36	38	42
Co C	Pasco	05	7	7	0	0	31	31	94	38	101
Co D	Moses Lake	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	31	38	35
HHC, 2d Bn	Trentwood	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	4	9	9
Co E	Trentwood	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	34	38	40
Co F	Trentwood	05	7	7	0	0	31	31	32	38	39
Co G	Trentwood	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	34	38	40
Co H	Trentwood	05	7	7	0	0	31	31	35	38	42
HHC, 3d Bn	Yakima	05	5	5	0	0	4	4	4	9	9
Co I	Ellensburg	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	38	38	42
Co K	Yakima	05	7	6	0	0	31	31	51	38	57
Co L	Yakima	05	7	4	0	0	31	31	60	38	64
Co M	Yakima	05	7	7	0	0	31	31	55	38	62
⊗ Subtotal			299	269	9	15	1194	1194	1556	1502	1840

NON-GOC UNITS

6th JAG Det (Hq)	Seattle	06	4	3	1	1	4	4	5	9	9
16th QM Det	Ft Lawton	02	0	0	0	0	12	12	19	12	19
17th SF Gp (Abn), HHC	Seattle	02	22	46	4	2	92	68	88	94	136
50th Gen Hosp	Ft Lawton	02	137	88	1	4	354	256	267	394	359
81st JAG Det (Claims)	Trentwood	06	1	1	0	0	3	3	2	4	3
82d JAG Det (Claims)	Trentwood	06	1	1	0	0	3	3	2	4	3
89th JAG Det (Frauds)	Seattle	06	4	4	0	0	2	2	2	6	6
90th JAG Det (Legal)	Seattle	06	5	5	0	0	2	2	2	7	7
131st Sta Hosp	Tacoma	06	33	7	0	0	72	46	53	79	60
136th MI Det	Seattle	02	9	8	2	2	21	15	16	26	26
182d Sig Det (Intel)	Ft Lawton	06	1	1	0	0	3	3	3	4	4
304th PI Det	Seattle	02	4	4	0	0	9	9	17	13	21
306th Avn Btry, Det 1	Seattle	06	10	17	6	1	20	13	17	29	35
316th MI Cens Det	Ft Lawton	06	5	5	0	0	37	27	29	32	34

SECTION VI - WASHINGTON SECTOR (Cont)

NON-GOC UNITS (Cont)	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL		ENLISTED		TOTAL	
			PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD	AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
321st Engr Bn(Cbt)												
Co A	Wallace;Ida	06	5	2	0	0	149	118	118	123	120	
Co B	Couer d'AleneIda	06	(5)		(0)		149	(118)		(123)		
Co B (-)			2	1	0	0		57	44	59	45	
Engr Det (Pullman)			3	3	0	0		61	53	64	56	
Co C	Spokane	06	5	9	0	2	149	118	121	123	132	
324th MP Bn, HHD	Ft Lawton	06	9	9	2	3	41	28	30	39	42	
341st ASA Co, Op B	Ft Lawton	06	10	8	7	6	270	198	120	215	134	
365th CA Area, Hq B	Ft Lawton	02	24	24	1	2	20	20	22	45	48	
379th Army Postal Unit(SRF)	Ft Lawton	06	1	1	0	0	15	15	21	16	22	
385th Evac Hosp	Spokane	02	(71)		(1)		171	(122)		(194)		
385th Evac Hosp (-)			67	29	1	1		92	151	160	181	
Med Svc Sec (Walla Walla)			4	4	0	0		30	24	34	28	
409th Engr Co (SRF)	Everett	02	3	4	0	0	124	124	160	127	164	
440th CA Co	Wenatchee	06	44	26	0	1	40	40	56	84	83	
441st Cml Det	Spokane	02	1	1	0	0	4	4	3	5	4	
442d Cml Det	Pullman	06	1	1	0	0	4	4	1	5	2	
443d Cml Det	Ft Lawton	06	1	1	0	0	4	4	5	5	6	
444th Cml Det	Ft Lawton	06	1	1	0	0	4	4	6	5	7	
445th Cml Det	Ft Lawton	02	1	1	0	0	7	7	8	8	9	
446th Cml Det	Ft Lawton	02	1	1	0	0	7	7	8	8	9	
448th CA Co	Bellingham	06	44	28	0	1	40	40	49	84	78	
455th Engr Co	Tumwater	08	(7)		(3)		185	(127)		(137)		
455th Engr Co (-)			6	5	2	4		94	95	102	104	
Maint Plat (Longview)			1	0	1	0		33	30	35	30	
607th Med Det (Dent Svc)	Ft Lawton	02	16	8	0	0	23	15	15	31	23	
737th Trans Co	Yakima	02	4	3	1	0	179	96	107	101	110	
753d QM Co	Ft Lawton	02	10	10	0	1	279	220	249	230	260	
895th QM Det	Ft Lawton	02	1	1	0	0	3	3	7	4	8	
907th Engr Plat	Moscow Ida	06	1	1	0	0	23	23	20	24	21	
909th Engr Plat	Sand Point Ida	06	1	0	0	1	23	23	20	24	21	
6051 Hq X Corps (Aug)	Ft Lawton	05	10	8	0	0	76	76	78	86	86	
6195 USA Tml Unit	Seattle	05	44	44	1	1	36	36	44	81	89	
6196 USA Eml Sta Comp	Seattle	05	26	27	0	0	24	24	25	50	52	
6197 USA Stg Sta	Seattle	05	22	23	0	1	36	36	36	58	60	


SECTION VI - WASHINGTON SECTOR (Cont)

NON-GOC UNITS (Cont)	LOCATION	FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY	OFFICER		WO		ENL	ENLISTED		TOTAL	
			PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD	TOE/TD AUTH	PDOS	ASGD	PDOS	ASGD
6250th USA Hosp	Tacoma	05	36	20	0	2	69	69	66	105	88
6255th Den Svc Det	Tacoma	05	33	9	0	1	49	49	48	82	58
9944th Seattle Det											
NPTC Dis Sta	Seattle	05	14	13	0	0	1	1	0	15	13
Seattle USAR Sch (6234)	Seattle	C	17	26	0	0	10	10	9	27	35
Tacoma USAR Sch (6236)	Tacoma	C	21	16	0	0	21	21	15	42	31
6236-0 (Tacoma)				(16)					(15)		
6236-1 (Tumwater)				(0)					(0)		
Spokane USAR Sch (6241)	Spokane	C	20	15	0	0	10	10	7	30	22
6241-0 (Spokane)				(14)					(7)		
6241-1 (Moscow)				(1)					(0)		
6241-2 (Missoula)				(0)					(0)		
Subtotal			746	573	30	37	2879	2273	2393	3049	3003
<u>TOTAL TROOP PROGRAM UNITS</u>			1045	842	39	52	4073	3467	3949	4551	4843
<u>B. MOB DES SELECTIVE SERVICE</u>											
Det 9	Seattle			6		0			0		6
<u>TOTAL ASSIGNED WASHINGTON SECTOR</u>			1045	848	39	52	4073	3467	3949	4551	4849
<u>C. - NON-TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (RTU)</u>											
498th Engr Bde (Amph) HHC (RTU)	Ft Lawton			32		2			5		39
6155th R&D Unit (RT)	Ft Lawton			17		1			0		18
6156th R&D Unit (RT)	Richland			7		0			0		7
6158th R&D Unit (RT)	Pullman			6		0			1		7
6234th USAR Sch (Stu) (RT)	Seattle			106		0			0		106
6236th USAR Sch (Stu) (RT)	Tacoma			21		0			0		21
6241 USAR Sch (Stu) (RT)	Spokane			46		0			0		46
6306 CA Are Hq B (RT)	Walla Walla			7		1			0		8
6309 CA Area Hq B (RT)	Yakima			8		1			1		10
6310 CA Co Hq B (RT)	Pasco			1		0			0		1
6315 Inf, 1st BG HHC (RT)	Clarkston			10		0			10		20
6333d Sp Mksmshp U (RT)	Ft Lawton			13		1			11		25
6344 USAG (RT)	LaGrande			14		0			4		18

SECTION VI - WASHINGTON SECTOR (Cont)

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>OFFICER</u>	<u>WO</u>	<u>ENLISTED</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
<u>C. NON-TROOP PROGRAM UNITS (RTU) Cont</u>				
6339 USAR Corps (RT) Ft Lawton	27	0	0	27
6348th Engr Amph Gp (RT) Pasco	0	0	6	6
6355th Engr Gp (Cbt)(RT) Seattle	0	0	0	0
6379 Engr Amph Bn (RT) Spokane	2	0	1	3
6385th USAR Study Gp (RT) Spokane	26	0	0	26
<u>TOTAL ATTACHED WASHINGTON SECTOR</u>	<u>343</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>388</u>

FOR THE COMMANDER:


HERBERT W. WHITE
Captain, AGC
Asst Adjutant General

72

TOE units having full strength of 25 or less are authorized 100% TOE/TD strength.
TOE units having full strength authorization of 25 or more which would be reduced below 25 by application of appropriate percentage will retain a total paid drill objective strength of 25.

- Non-Troop Program Units (RTU) assigned X US Army Corps without assigned strength. All personnel shown are attached from US Army Administration Center Control Groups, St Louis, Missouri.

APPENDIX II - LINEAGE AND HONORS



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Lineage and Honors

HEADQUARTERS, X UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS

Constituted 1 May 1942 in the Army of the United States as
Headquarters, X Army Corps

Activated 15 May 1942 at Sherman, Texas

Redesignated 19 August 1942 as Headquarters, X Corps

Inactivated 31 January 1946 in Japan

Activated 12 September 1950 in Japan

Allotted to the Regular Army 8 December 1950

Inactivated 27 April 1955 at Fort Riley, Kansas

Redesignated 26 December 1957 as Headquarters, X United States
Army Corps

Activated 1 January 1958 at Fort Lawton, Washington

CAMPAIGN PARTICIPATION CREDIT

World War II

New Guinea

Leyte (with arrowhead)

Southern Philippines

Korean War

UN defensive

UN offensive

CCF intervention

First UN counteroffensive

CCF spring offensive

UN summer-fall offensive

Second Korean winter

Korea summer-fall 1952

Third Korean winter

Korea summer 1953

HEADQUARTERS, X UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS


DECORATIONS

Philippine Presidential Unit Citation, Streamer embroidered 17 OCTOBER
1944 TO 4 JULY 1945

Republic of Korea Presidential Unit Citation, Streamer embroidered
INCHON TO HUNG-NAM

Republic of Korea Presidential Unit Citation, Streamer embroidered
KOREA

By Order of the Secretary of the Army:



J. C. LAMBERT
Major General, USA
The Adjutant General

NOV 9 1965